

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/662,112	662,112 09/12/2003 Russell S. Long		02-2361	9095		
8840	7590 02/01/2005		EXAMINER			
	EAMANS CHERIN &	PATEL, KIRAN B				
ALCOA TEC	HNICAL CENTER					
100 TECHNI	CAL DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
ALCOA CENTER, PA 15069-0001			3612			
				DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1			
		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)	*			
Office Action Summary		10/662,1	12	LONG ET AL.	•			
		Examine	r	Art Unit	-			
		Kiran B. I		3612				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	ss			
THE - Exterester - If the - If NC - Failur Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC usions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state are to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evinication. days, a reply within the stautory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be ti tutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror plication to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this commu	unication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <i>07 January 200</i>	<u>05</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2	b)☐ This action is	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-13 and 15-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8,14,26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or be tion to the drawing(s) the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. So red if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of Nones of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	locuments have be locuments have be f the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applica ents have been receiv lle 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Sta	ige			
2) Notice 3) Infor	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Fer No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		2)			

DETAILED ACTION

Final Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-8, 14, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pringnitz (6,796,603) in view of Hanni et al. (5,287,813) and Taul (4,136,985).

Regarding claims 1-8, 14, 26 Pringnitz (6,796,603) discloses the invention as claimed to include a frame assembly 14 comprising a top rail, a bottom rail, and a pair of side rails connecting the top and bottom rails, the frame assembly defining a rectangular shape and having an exposed side facing outward from the trailer body when the rear case assembly is attached to the trailer body and an internal side configured to be attached to the trailer body 10; a light guard assembly (Fig 1) attached to the bottom rail on the exposed side of the frame assembly 14, the

Application/Control Number: 10/662,112 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

light guard assembly comprising a pair of light guard members and a bumper member (Fig 1) connecting the light guard members, the light guard members each defining at least one light-receiving socket; a pair of stiffener members attached (Fig 1) to the side rails, respectively, on the exposed side of the frame assembly for increasing the strength of the side rails.

However, Pringnitz (6,796,603) does not disclose extruded aluminum rails and a pair of reinforcing plates.

Hanni et al. (5,287,813) discloses in Fig 1-3 extruded aluminum rails.

Taul (4,136,985) discloses in Fig 1 a pair of reinforcing plates.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention, as disclosed by Pringnitz (6,796,603), to include extruded aluminum rails, as disclosed by Hanni et al. (5,287,813) and a pair of reinforcing plates, as disclosed by Taul (4,136,985), to optimize the weight and the strength of the frame for better fuel efficiency.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 1/7/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3612

Applicant argued that Pringnitz (6,796,603) patent is directly solely towards latches for roll up type truck cargo doors is not accurate because along with the latches it also discloses and teaches the limitations used for the rejection. One having ordinary skill in the art would utilize aluminum rails, reinforcing plates, and welded joints as indicated at col 6, lines 20-25, "Reasonable variation and modification are possible and within the scope of the forgoing description and the drawings without departing from the spirit of the invention."

Conclusion

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

Application/Control Number: 10/662,112 Page 5

Art Unit: 3612

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-305-0254. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Kiran B. Patel, P.E. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612 January 27, 2005